



## File 17-09

**Subject Member:** Registered Professional Biologist

**Complaint Submitted:** August 12, 2017

**Date of Decision:** March 22, 2018

### Complaint Summary:

A complaint was submitted against a member of the College alleging unethical conduct. In particular, the allegations were that the subject member:

- a) made false statements,
- b) ignored reports submitted by other professional biologists,
- c) 'meddled' in the jurisdiction of other departments,
- d) used passive/aggressive behaviour,
- e) practiced outside of their expertise,

### Decision:

For items above, the Discipline Committee determined the evidence provided did not support the allegations.

The Committee was satisfied that the subject member took their professional obligations seriously, in good faith, and with considerable due diligence. The Committee concluded that it would not be in the public interest to further investigate a member where the member undertook appropriate due diligence to assess their professional obligations in carrying out their employment duties.

**Accordingly, after a full and careful consideration of the material contained in this file it is the decision of the Discipline Committee that this complaint be dismissed as per Rule 15.19 as “not one in which further proceedings would be in the public interest; and should be dismissed or deferred” and that the file be closed.**